



Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at <http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content>.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

MANITOWAC, WIS.

To the Editor.

Sir: I enclose a letter recently addressed by me to the chairman of the Universal Military Training League, which you may give what publicity you choose.

Yours truly,

JOHN SCHUETTE.

[ENCLOSURE.]

Universal Military Training League.

GENTLEMEN: In response to your invitation to join your league and aid your propaganda for the passage of the Chamberlain Universal Military Training Bill, I wish to state that I consider such legislation contrary to our aims and hampering the winning of the war.

We are now sacrificing our all to root out the pernicious militarism, the breeder of most wars, and by its destruction hope to make this world safe to live in, by abolishing war for all time, and by substituting law as an adjuster of all international disputes.

And now your league attempts to foist upon our country the very pernicious military system our soldiers, at the risk of their lives, are combatting to destroy.

To adopt this system here now, when it could be of no help to our soldiers in this war, would be an admission that we had little faith of destroying it in Europe and winning the war, which would greatly discourage the hope of our soldiers, that by their noble effort and sacrifice they will be rewarded, by gaining the greatest victory for the betterment of all mankind.

If we should not realize our aim for world's peace in this war, "the greatest calamity to humanity"—yes, then we may be compelled to adopt the oppressive military system you now propose—training our boys in the art of destroying life and property, imbue them with the brutal military spirit, and turn the world into a military camp not worth while to live in—but not as long, we firmly believe, as we do, that we will win this war and that it will be the last on earth.

Therefore, we should not now change our traditional military system, which served us so well, to the oppressive revolting Prussian system which has proven the most disturbing factor to world peace.

JOHN SCHUETTE.

BETRAYING OUR CAUSE.

Thousands of our finest young men are renouncing all that life holds dear in order that the next generation and those that follow may live in a world freed from the oppression and brutality of organized and aggressive force. Thousands of mothers all over the land are finding comfort in the faith that defeat of Germany will bring with it defeat for all time of the theories and the institutions that have impelled Germany to plunge the world into this red horror, just as they would have impelled any nation where the minds of men lived under their baneful sway. President Wilson has set the nation's seal on this faith—a faith holy with the tears of women and the blood of men. It has become a promise and a determination. It involves the defeat of Germany only because the German government has become the supreme exponent of the statesmanship that relies on force and aggression. British labor would not go on for a single day without this faith, if the war were a struggle between parochial nationalism and nothing more. Pacifist America—pacifist in the sense that the President is pacifist—would not face the stupendous sacrifices that lie ahead of us if we were not sustained by this faith. We are united for the defeat of Germany as the first necessary step in its realization. And, looking ahead, the statesmen and the democratic forces of America and England are united for the second step. Given the defeat of Germany and her compulsory acquiescence in a world program, they are determined that this program shall begin with the casting out of the diplomacy that relies on great military establishments which burden the people, stifle democratic aspirations, and in themselves incite to aggression and bellicosity. Premier Lloyd-George has said within recent weeks that one of the country's most important war aims would not be achieved if after this war there were need of universal military service. To admit such a need is to admit that the Allies are to fail, and the coming peace to be but a truce. Condition universal military service on failure or only partial victory in the present war, and all England and America would answer "Aye" to those who urge it with this condition. But condition it on allied victory, and the answer of the allied democracies is that he who proposes it proposes the betrayal of our cause and mocks our dead.—*The Public.*

BOOK REVIEWS

ALL BOOKS LISTED HERE MAY BE OBTAINED, POSTAGE PREPAID, UPON APPLICATION TO THE AMERICAN PEACE SOCIETY, COLORADO BUILDING, WASHINGTON, D. C.

Our Revolution. Essays by "Leon Trotsky." Translated and edited by Moissaye J. Ogin. Henry Holt and Company, New York. 220 p., with index. 1918. \$1.25.

With apparent faithfulness Mr. Ogin has reproduced some of the more important revolutionary essays of the Russian leader, dating from the eve of the revolution of 1905 to March, 1917, when Bronstein, or "Trotsky" (the editor explains this to be the same familiar sort of *nom de plume* as "Gorki" or "Fyodor Sologub"), left the United States to assume the leadership of the Bolshevik forces. They reveal an intellect by no means incongruous with the position Trotsky has held in the Russian chaos, one showing, somewhat as might be expected, the iron of bitterness and the fire of ruthless zeal. Not so clearly evident is the clear coolness of broad, rather than far, vision, which, with the other two qualities, is needful to forge true steel. One does not find here the traitor or pawn of Prussianism that he has been claimed to be; but one can understand that the bludgeon mind of angry fanaticism might not question too closely the source of aid received, lacking the fine edge of moral discernment necessary to foresee the inevitable result of victory purchased unscrupulously. These glimpses of the second prominent figure of the Russian revolution are distinctly worth the reader's while. They are scenes from a most human drama—be like a tragedy, when we consider Trotsky's own words: "History is a tremendous mechanism

serving our ideals. Its work is slow, barbarously slow, implacably cruel; yet the work goes on. We believe in it. Only at moments, when this voracious monster drinks the living blood of our hearts to serve it as food, we wish to shout with all our might: '*What thou doest, do quickly!*'"

Southeastern Europe. By Vladislav R. Savich, formerly head of the Serbian Foreign Office press bureau. With an introduction by Nicholas Murray Butler, President of Columbia University. 276 p., with index. 1918. Fleming H. Revell & Co., New York. \$1.50.

This most friendly and intelligent Serbian, with his sincere appreciation of American idealism, has a story to tell us of vivid action and tragic import. The story of Serbia, as we know it best, is of the Belgium of Eastern Europe, hunted and harried, devastated and violated to a point revolting almost to the stomach of Kultur itself. Mr. Savich tells us much that we only dimly knew of the greater Serbia, reaching back its roots into the early Christian centuries and beyond, driven and led hither and thither, but maintaining through all trial and strain a national unity that today binds together in a perceptible union peoples of different religions and tongues, occupying territory extending from Goritza to Monastir, and from the Adriatic coast inland to and beyond the Danube. With considerable skill the author develops his thesis that the solution of peace in

Europe lies in the delineation of boundaries in the Balkans on clearly ethnic lines, and the guaranteed freedom of the nations so disposed to develop each its own nationality undisturbed. In this solution the keystone is the formation of the new Serbia, or a "strong Southern Slav State," borrowing its territory largely from Austria and Hungary, but also from Italian ambitions and Rumanian and Bulgarian pretensions. Running south and southeast from the Drave and the Isonzo, the proposed "Kingdom of the Serbs, Croats, and Slovenes" would include Dalmatia and the Adriatic coast to the present boundary of Albania, and would take in Bosnia, Herzegovina, Montenegro, and the Serbia of 1914. The author's conviction is that in this strong state lies the only solution of the difficulties of the Balkans, and hence of Europe. This he defends most ably, and if all his arguments are not unanswerable, they are at least worthy of our close scrutiny. The people in the United States who have a clear comprehension of Balkan questions might very likely be numbered upon the fingers of both hands, yet these questions must shortly be settled either well or ill, and American public opinion must have a considerable share in their settlement. For those who understand their personal responsibility at least to form an intelligent opinion, Mr. Savich's book is warmly recommended.

The Reconstruction of Poland and the Near East. By *Herbert Adams Gibbons, Ph. D., F. R. S.* 218 p. 1917. The Century Company, New York. \$1.00.

"Three years ago a writer could not get published in a big newspaper, much less in leading magazine or review, any article dealing with the possibility of the resurrection of Poland. I know," says Dr. Gibbons, "for I tried." Now we are so familiar with Poland's claims and the demands on her behalf that no governmental message is complete without specific mention of them. But, the author would insist, there is much that we do not clearly understand. Not intensively, yet ably, he runs over the chief points of Poland's right to sovereignty. The Balkan questions he discusses with a keen sympathy for the little nations of the Near East, but also with a sympathetic understanding of the Turk, a stabilizer with which many defenders of these nations do not outfit their cerebrations. Dr. Gibbons' book is easy reading, digestibly informative, and inspiring to further study. His final chapter on "The Monroe Doctrine for the World" will lend strength to the good right arm of any American who enjoys patting himself on the back.

The Russian Revolution. By *Alexander Petrunkevitch, Samuel Northrup Harper, and Frank Alfred Golder*, and **The Jugo-Slav Movement.** By *Robert Joseph Kerner*. Harvard University Press, Cambridge, Mass. 109 p., with appendices. 1918. \$1.00.

The complete failure of the Bolsheviks to represent the proletariat truly, the failure of all Russian leaders to interpret effectually the spirit of the times, the opposition of the Bolsheviks to faithful attempts at popular representation and their repeated obstruction of honest efforts to organize the vital forces of the country into an operative government with a consistent policy—these are the topics of the first two essays upon the Russian situation, the first by the son of a leader of the Russian Constitutional Democracy, the second by a close student of Russian affairs. Mr. Golder, the third contributor to the first portion of this book, was fortunate enough to be in Petrograd in pre-revolutionary days, when all that was yet grasped by the man in the street was a threatening of anarchistic trouble-making. He gives here a brief but illuminating picture of the events preluding the Russian Republic. The three essays together give interesting sidelights on this greatest event of the war.

A most readable and shrewdly interpretative elementary text-book is the essay forming the second half of the book. The story of the Southern Slav Movement is one deserving of epic treatment, but is by no means belittled in the brief outline provided by Mr. Kerner. Here is a drama of twelve centuries' duration, sweeping down from the height of national unity in a tribal people to the depths of national decomposition, to the ignominy, impotence, and

serfdom of the years 1868 to 1905, and then back up to unity again, and the promise, yet to be fulfilled, of the new Pan-Slavia, or, in the language of the Pact of Corfu (July 20, 1917), "The Kingdom of the Serbs, Croats, and Slovenes." Three peoples, impermeated severally by three mutually antagonistic religious beliefs, have now by the outbreak and progress of the war been welded into one people again, and one which, in the writer's words, "is neither a doctrine nor a dream, but a reality," and "can help to make impossible the dream of mid-Europe or of Pan-Germany." For a rapid survey of the development of the southern Slav situation this essay is admirable, forming a readable and impartial introduction to the closer studies of the situation such as are presented in the book reviewed elsewhere in these columns, "Southeastern Europe."

The Russian Problem. By *Paul Vinogradoff*. E. P. Dutton & Company, New York. 44 p. 75 cents.

This is a most grateful book, fanning the reader's fevered brow with cool winds from forgotten caverns of pre-Kerensky days. Then, the "Russian problem" was the simple affair of the gradual evolution of constitutionalism that the writer here describes. The Russian omelet was still in the shell, and one had only to watch the sand-dial to make shrewd guesses as to its progress. Now that it is direfully scrambled, the conservative remark of M. Vinogradoff, for example, that "the future of Russia depends on the essentially peaceful process of democratic enlightenment and economic improvement," seems refreshingly naive. Yet—if we may leap far from our metaphor of the breakfast table—if, as some hold, revolution is but the eruptive purging of the inner malady, which will pass and leave the patient to revert in the main to the former steady development of national health, this book, and others like it, may well have a peculiar value just now, giving us a clearer view of what lies behind the uneasy manifestations of these days. M. Vinogradoff said far more than he knew in calling the war Russia's "*Befreiungskrieg*." But one is inclined to find much hope in his definition of the one quality in the Russian that will be his salvation: "He is longing to serve a great idea and to merge his insignificant self in a common cause. He is by nature a crusader."

The World War and the Road to Peace. By *T. B. McLeod*. The Macmillan Company, New York. 126 p. 1918. 60 cents.

This book is typical, in its earnest, honest blindness, of that well-meaningness in Christianity today that coddles the short-sighted and makes the "man at the front" (whether at home or in France) swear softly, albeit respectfully. Dr. McLeod wants us to be the right sort of pacifists, which are not "pacifists" at all, but stern Samaritans. Therefore he is moved to blow away the chaff of "pacifist" pretensions and reveal the good grain of righteousness—if not quite self-righteousness. Dr. McLeod sees the war as an outbreak of madness on the part of Germany. He sees our participation in the war almost solely as the noble effort of a great people to fly to the rescue of trampled Belgium. In this exposition he is somewhat hindered by the fact that our flight was not quite spontaneous. His picture of our President as the "great humanitarian" is thus a good picture, but a poor likeness. Our opinion is that from pages 30 to 76 this book is pretty good reading. On page 77 Dr. McLeod shocks us by talking of "compensations." It thereafter becomes despairingly manifest, as we had begun to fear, that the good doctor sees the war as nothing more than a sort of unfortunate "bull-in-the-china-shop." A deuce of a mess; we have to wade in and clean it up, no matter what it costs; it may seem to cost a lot, but—there are "compensations"! "Moral glory," whatever that is, is one. We hope "moral glory" means "consciousness of right"; but it sounds perilously like "self-satisfaction." Other compensations are national unity, the obliteration of "irritating" social distinctions, renewed interest in "consecration to service," and—yes—"economy." Save the mark, we are out for compensations for fighting this war, and one of the things that we are consecrating ourselves for is "economy"!